# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

ALASKA STATE EMPLOYEES

ASSOCIATION, LOCAL 52,

Plaintiff,

Original Received

APR 15 2019

Vs.

STATE OF ALASKA;

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH &

SOCIAL SERVICES; DEPARTMENT

OF ADMINISTRATION; OFFICE of

GOVERNOR MICHAEL J. DUNLEAVY,

Defendants.

### COMPLAINT

Case No. 3AN-19- 06327

The Alaska State Employees Association, Local 52 alleges as follows:

### PARTIES & OTHER AGENCIES AND ENTITIES

1. The Alaska State Employees Association, Local 52 ("Union" or "ASEA") is a union that protects pay, working conditions, worker rights and member benefits for its members, which include at least 211 employees of the State of Alaska who work at the Alaska Psychiatric Institute ("API"). The Union has a Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") with the State of Alaska that expires on June 30, 2019 related to its General

```
COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-____ CI

Page 1 of 26
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Government Unit. The Union and the State of Alaska ratified and ("Ratified CBA"), which currently awaits approved a new CBA funding by the Alaska Legislature, and which will span from July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2022.

- The Department of Health and Social Services ("State of 2. Alaska" or "DHSS") is an administrative agency of the State of with statutory responsibility to operate API which Alaska and court-ordered psychiatric provides inpatient emergency services.
- Administration, Division of General 3. of Department Services ("DOA" or the "State of Alaska"), is an administrative agency of the State of Alaska with statutory responsibility to approve the procurement of state funds for state contracts, limited including those that are awarded as single source, alternative procurements under competition emergency and AS 36.30.
- The Office of the Governor of Michael J. Dunleavy is Office" "State of Alaska") an ("Governor's or the administrative agency within the State of Alaska.
- 5. Wellpath Recovery Solutions ("Wellpath") is an entity that describes itself as "the newly combined Correct

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-

Page 2 of 26

23

24

25 26

Solutions and Correctional Medical Group Companies," and is currently under contract with the State of Alaska related to API.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under AS 22.10.020(a) and (g).
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court under AS 22.10.030 and Alaska Rule of Civil Procedure 3(c).

### NATURE OF THE CASE

- 8. This action seeks a judicial declaration that the State of Alaska violated the law by awarding a single-source contract to Wellpath. The State of Alaska cannot establish, by written evidence or otherwise, that: (a) it was impracticable to award the contract provided to Wellpath by competitive sealed bidding, competitive sealed proposals, or limited competition, (b) that the Wellpath contract is in the State of Alaska's best interest, and (c) that there were no vendors that could have provided the same services to API as Wellpath.
- 9. This action also seeks damages and other relief, including injunctive relief, for claims by the Union against the State of Alaska for breach of contract, anticipatory breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing,

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19- CI

Page 3 of 26

See https://wellpathcare.com/.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

promissory estoppel, and for a violation of the contract clause in the Alaska Constitution.

### WELLPATH'S CONTRACT WITH THE STATE OF ALASKA

- 10. On February 8, 2019, DHSS Commissioner Adam Crum announced that he had "invoked his authority under state law to immediately assume management of [API]."<sup>2</sup>
- 11. One day prior, on February 7, 2019, the State of Alaska, through its DOA and Chief Procurement Officer Jason Soza, approved a single-source contract under its procurement code, allowing Wellpath to take over the management of API ("Wellpath Contract"). The Wellpath Contract is divided into two phases, including a "Startup" phase ("Phase 1") from February 8, 2019 through June 30, 2019, and an "Ongoing Operations" phase ("Phase 2"), from July 1, 2019 (called the "Operation Date") through June 30, 2024. The terms and conditions applicable to Phase 2 will

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al. Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_ CI

Page 4 of 26

See Press Release, Feb. 8, 2019, titled "Commissioner assumes management of Alaska Psychiatric Institute; changes will improve patient and staff safety," publicly available at <a href="http://dhss.alaska.gov/API/Pages/AdminChanges.aspx">http://dhss.alaska.gov/API/Pages/AdminChanges.aspx</a>.

titled "Contract Wellpath The Wellpath Contract, with hospital administration services" is Recovery Services for at available publicly

hss.alaska.gov/API/Documents/AdminChanges/0619-131 API HospitalAdminServ Contract 20190208.pdf.

Wellpath Contract at 6.

12. Phase 1 includes several benchmarks, including API's continued compliance with applicable licensing, accreditation and regulatory requirements, a promise that API's 80 beds will be "fully available for utilization," and that API be "staffed by the necessary clinical and support staff." According to contract documents, during Phase 1, "Wellpath is not responsible for the operations of API," but the contract "contemplates Wellpath being prepared to assume such responsibility as of the commencement of the Operation Date, on July 1, 2019." 8

13. The Wellpath Contract also includes a term called "Completion of Phase 1," which provides that on or before April 15, 2019, the State of Alaska will "engage in negotiations to amend this agreement and outline the terms described in Phase 2: Ongoing Operations."

```
5 Id.
```

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al. Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_\_ CI

Page 5 of 26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Id.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Id. at 7.

<sup>8</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id*.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

14. The Wellpath Contract states that current API employees will "remain the financial responsibility of the State," but that effective on the "Operations Date, existing State employees at API shall be eligible to apply for rehire with Wellpath and have preference in that process." The Wellpath Contract further obligates Wellpath to

honor terms & conditions of existing contracts that API is currently engaged in. Requests for termination or adjustment to any contract must be presented to the State, in writing, for approval, along with a proposal for the amendment / termination that exhibits adherence to the terms & conditions of these contracts. The State will remain financially responsible for the costs of services provided under these contracts during Phase 1. 12

15. At different times during the last eight weeks since Wellpath engaged in the Startup phase of API, including the Phase 1 obligations described above, the State of Alaska has:

- Informed Union members that following the Operations Date Wellpath will continue the salaries of current Union members for six months, and then will reevaluate and possibly reduce salaries;
- At different town meetings, told Union members that no one will lose their jobs, but then announced that no one's job is certain or safe;

#### COMPLAINT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Id.

<sup>12</sup> Id.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

 Modified schedules of current Union employees, including demanding that certain employees return to work on days off, refusing to make informational meetings available via video, causing Union members to return to work on days off to hear updates regarding their jobs;

- Informed it circulate Union members that would information about hiring on with Wellpath, including human resources and benefits information, and then failed to do so:
- Announced to Union members that the State of Alaska's retirement and benefits would be onsite at API to questions about tier status and retirement answer between April 1 and April 4;
- Pushed back the timeline related to current Union members' status as State of Alaska employees, including most recently an announcement regarding the Operations Date for the Wellpath Contract, changing the date from July 1, 2019 to September 1, 2019;
- Modified the April 15, 2019 deadline in the Wellpath Contract again in testimony before the Legislature, deadlines identifying new dates and new Operations Date.
- 16. The changes have left Union members in flux, not knowing the status and security of their job and existing benefits, including those articulated in the CBA and the Ratified CBA.

### THE REQUEST FOR ALTERNATIVE PROCUREMENT

The DHSS, through its deputy commissioner Albert Wall 17. and assistant commissioner, Sana Efird, signed a DHSS Authority

COMPLAINT Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al. Case No. 3AN-19-Page 7 of 26

to Seek Professional Services on February 7, 2019 and submitted a Request for Alternate Procurement ("RAP"). <sup>13</sup> The RAP eventually sought authority for a single-source contract for Wellpath at an estimated cost of \$84 million. <sup>14</sup>

18. Attachments to the RAP include an email from Dep. Comm. Wall to DHSS Commissioner Adam Crum on January 22, 2019, outlining a "basic draft of the compelling reasons to sole source an emergent contract with API." 15

19. In that January 22, 2019 email attached to the RAP, Dep. Comm. Wall outlines a list of serious issues at API, and states that if the "emergent issue is not immediately rectified" the State of Alaska could face a loss of federal funding that would then be paid by state funds; ongoing patient issues (safety, personal rights); and the potential closure of API. 16

20. Dep. Comm. Wall further noted that the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS") planned to visit API between January 23 and February 1, 2019 for a follow up inspection related to a letter of correction, which suggested that API faced

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_ C

Page 8 of 26

The RAP is available at <a href="http://dhss.alaska.gov/API/Pages/AdminChanges.aspx">http://dhss.alaska.gov/API/Pages/AdminChanges.aspx</a>.

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  RAP at 1.

<sup>15</sup> Id. at 6.

<sup>16</sup> Id. at 7,  $\P$  6.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

serious risk of losing certification if a plan of correction did not establish improvements.

Dep. Comm. Wall further stated that the DHSS "executive 21. leadership" met with "two potential contractors concerning" the issues at API and the desire to find a solution. According to Dep. Comm. Wall, the contractors were Noel Rea, a former Wrangell now a consultant with NetworxHealth, a Medical Center CEO, Virginia Mason Medical Center consulting group, and Jeremy Barr, affiliated with Wellpath. 17

22. Dep. Comm. Wall stated that himself, Ms. Efird, and Deputy Commissioner Donna Steward then decided to request authority for Wellpath to contract with the State of Alaska for an "emergent procurement of single-source contract with the begin date of no later than February 5, 2019 and the end date of no earlier than June 30,  $2019.^{"18}$ 

The RAP includes a copy of the February 5, 2019 letter or press release from Commissioner Crum, stating that under (e), the State of Alaska DHSS assumed AS 47.32.140(d) and "management of" API as of February 5, 2019.19 Commissioner Crum

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-

Page 9 of 26

<sup>17</sup> See generally Id. at 8.

<sup>18</sup> Id. (emphasis in original).

Id. at 10.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stated that the State of Alaska and DHSS intended to contract with a nationally recognized organization to provide day-to-day management and operations of the facility through the "end of the fiscal year, with the expectation that this organization will take over the full-time operations of the facility on or before July 1, 2019."20 Commissioner Crum's February 5, 2019 letter includes several facts in Dep. Comm. Wall's email, identifying Commissioner Crum stated that he expected serious issues at API. a contractor to make the following immediate changes:

- Immediately provide staffing to administratively manage API in a more efficient manner;
- Increase patient capacity;
- Achieve rapid compliance with regulatory and safety requirements;
- Improve patient safety; and
- responsibilities "eventually assume all hospital including patient services."
- but includes an evaluation of Noel 24. The RAP inexplicably fails to mention that Mr. Rea works with a company The RAP materials indicate that Mr. Rea called NetworxHealth. provided his "CV only" and that he attended an onsite meeting,

26 COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-

Page 10 of 26

<sup>20</sup> Id.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

but declined to tour API.<sup>21</sup> Those evaluating Mr. Rea gave him a score of 32. Wellpath earned a score of 54.<sup>22</sup> There is no evidence that the State of Alaska properly vetted either company.

for the single-source 25. Before submitting the RAP DHSS, submitted a the State of Alaska, through procurement, Mr. Soza, the chief procurement request for an emergency RAP. officer who eventually approved the single-source procurement, Stacie Kraly, an attorney for the State of Alaska, Department of Law, and noted that the DHSS had considered two vendors (referenced above, in paragraph 24). The communications establish that the State of Alaska debated early on whether to request an emergency or single-source procurement, and then opted for a single-source procurement even though other vendors were considered and were available but did not receive notice or an opportunity to submit a proposal.

26. In fact, besides meeting with Wellpath and Mr. Rea, the State of Alaska also contacted other vendors, including Liberty Health Care Corporation and Providence Health and Services ("Providence"). The RAP makes no mention of contacts with these entities. In fact, it does not appear that DHSS informed

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_ C

Page 11 of 26

<sup>21</sup> Id. at 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Id.

DILLON & FINDLEY
APROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1049 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 100
Anchorage, Alaska 95501
51. (907) 277-5400 · FAX (907) 277-9896

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

Mr. Soza about its communications with Providence. In response to inquiries from legislators, Providence, through its Chief Executive Preston Simmons, provided a letter that established it offered to help with the issues at API and informed Dep. Comm. Wall of as much in a February 1, 2019 phone call. 23 Mr. Simmons then called chief medical officer Dr. Arpan Waghray for the Providence St. Joseph Health Well Being Trust. Dr. talked to Dep. Comm. Wall that same day, and discussed the "longterm operations of [API]."24 According to Providence, Dep. Comm. Wall "stressed the urgent need for immediate stabilization, but indicated a willingness to partner on acute, civil commitment, and post-acute care to engage Providence and others in creating a long-term strategy for API."25

27. On February 14, 2019, Mr. Simmons, who (at the time) did not have an understanding of all the terms in the Wellpath Contract, told Commissioner Crum that Providence remained interested in a long-term solution for API, and "wanted to be

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_\_ C

Page 12 of 26

Letter from Providence to Representatives Ivy Spohnholz and Tiffany Zulkosky, March 6, 2019 at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Id. at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Id.

LAW OFFICES

DILLON & FINDLEY
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1049 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 100
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
EL. (907) 277-5400 · FAX (907) 277-9896

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

considered for any RFPs for the ongoing management of the facility when that opportunity became available." $^{26}$ 

28. Despite interest expressed by Providence, and the existence of other vendors, the State of Alaska has maintained that there were no other vendors to step in and manage and operate API on an emergency basis during Phase I and then for the multiple-year contract term of Phase 2.

29. State of Alaska alwavs intended In reality, the Prior to approval of the RAP, to take over API. Wellpath Wellpath individuals were present at API, and had been at API during the month of January 2019. In fact, DHSS first contacted Wellpath on December 20, 2018 based on information presented to Starting in January 2019, Union members the Alaska Legislature. report working around individuals who were first introduced as employees. consultants, but who turned out be Wellpath to called 39-page document Additionally, Wellpath prepared а "Company Overview," dated January 21, 2019 and affixed with the DHSS logo one day prior to Dep. Comm. Wall's first January 22, 2019 email to Commissioner Crum, outlining the various reasons privatizing API. That Wellpath proposal discusses for privatization in detail.

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_\_CI

Page 13 of 26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Id.

LAW OFFICES

DILLON & FINDLEY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1049 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 100
Addresse, Alaska 99501

TEL. (907) 277-5400 · FAX (907) 277-9896

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

30. The State of Alaska's decision to award the contract to Wellpath does not satisfy AS 36.30.300. That statute requires written evidence establishing that the competitive process (which is at the heart of the procurement process under Alaska law) is not practicable, and why the procedure and the award to the identified vendor is in the State of Alaska's best interest, including a finding that no other vendors are available for the work. The RAP provides little if any information that rises to the level of evidence or proof that justifies a single-source contract.

feasibility study 31. Α 23, 2017 the Februarv privatization of API, commissioned by Governor Bill administration and designed to "determine whether privatization has the potential to generate cost savings to the State without diminishing the quality of care delivered by the hospital," concluded that state management, not privatization, offered the greatest efficiencies and cost savings.<sup>27</sup> The study noted exceptions the communication center facility for and materials management. 28 The study also determined that "staff

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_\_ C

Page 14 of 26

http://dhss.alaska.gov/HealthyAlaska/Documents/Initiatives/API%20Privatization%20Feasibility%20Report Jan%2026%202017.pdf at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Id.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reductions needed for budget neutrality would likely diminish quality of service delivery," further endangering API's patients and staff. 29

Moreover, prior to the State of Alaska's contract with Wellpath, API made strides to improve its Quality Assurance & Performance Improvement programs. In fact, API announced that CMS approved the API Plan of Correction (submitted without reference to Wellpath) on or about February 20, 2019. early April or late March, API received notice that The Joint Commission recommended "deemed status," determining API standards and a survey process that meets or exceeds Medicare and Medicaid requirements. API is now accredited by Commission until December 15, 2021.

33. In testimony before the Legislature on April 2, 2019 Mr. Soza provided additional details regarding the RAP and his decision to approve the single-source Wellpath contract. But Mr. Soza's testimony did not provide the evidence that supports the Wellpath single-source contract or that establishes the State of Alaska complied with AS 36.30.300. In fact, it appears Mr. Soza did not know and was not informed about lawsuits involving Wellpath or its parent companies, including lawsuits

26 COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_ C

Page 15 of 26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Id.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that establish a history of legal problems and safety issues. Mr. Soza did not identify any outside experts or information that he considered in reaching his decision.

### FACTS RELATED TO BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIMS, INCLUDING THE VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT CLAUSE OF THE ALASKA CONSTITUTION

34. The CBA is effective July 1, 2016 until June 30, 2019. The CBA provides, among other things, for certain events if the State of Alaska decides to privatize or contract out certain jobs.30 Article 13 services and In of the CBA, "Contracting Out," the CBA provides several mandatory promises, including the State of Alaska's obligation to feasibility study and the Union's right to submit an alternative plan to the State of Alaska's decision to contract out or privatize.31

35. At the time the State of Alaska contracted with Wellpath, it had not commissioned or received a feasibility study, as required by the CBA. Article 13.01(B) of the CBA states:

Decisions to contract out shall be made only after the affected agency has conducted a written feasibility study determining the potential costs

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_\_C

Page 16 of 26

A copy of the CBA is available at <a href="http://doa.alaska.gov/dop/laborrelations/unioncontracts/">http://doa.alaska.gov/dop/laborrelations/unioncontracts/</a>.

Id. at Articles 13.01, 13.02 and 13.03.

and benefits that would result from contracting out the work in question. The study shall include all costs associated with contracting out the work in question including, but not limited to, wages, benefits, administrative costs, agency overhead, program supervision, and audits.<sup>32</sup>

The CBA further states, in Article 13.01(C):

- (1) The Employer shall notify the Union of its final decision regarding contracting out. A copy of the study will be provided to the Union.
- (2) If the Employer decides to contract out and such contracting out will result in the displacement of employees, the Employer shall provide the Union with no less than thirty (30) calendar days' notice that it intends to contract out bargaining unit work. The notification by the Employer to ASEA of the results of the feasibility study will include all information on which it based its decision to contract out the work, including the total cost savings the Employer anticipates.
- (3) The Union may then submit an alternate plan that is to include potential costs and benefits. During this thirty (30) day calendar period the Employer shall not release any bids and ASEA shall have the opportunity to submit an alternate plan that will be given full consideration by Employer. During this thirty (30) calendar day period, the Union shall have the opportunity to discuss the placement of affected employees.
- 36. The State of Alaska breached the provisions in the CBA by contracting with Wellpath for the privatization of API, as described in Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Wellpath Contract. The

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_\_ CI

Page 17 of 26

http://doa.alaska.gov/dop/fileadmin/LaborRelations/pdf/contracts/GGU20162019Final.pdf at 29.

(907) 277-9896

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State of Alaska's subsequent decision to delay the Operation Date until September 1, 2019 in order to complete the feasibility study required by the CBA is an admission that it failed to provide the Union with the required feasibility study, required by the CBA.

- Had the State of Alaska complied with the terms of the CBA, and the Ratified CBA, the Union would have and could have submitted a plan in accordance with Article 13, and, pursuant to its rights, would have and could have submitted an alternative plan to that described in the Wellpath Contract. In breaching the CBA, the State of Alaska wrongly precluded the Union from submitting a plan and failed to comply with the terms of the CBA
- Additionally, the State of Alaska also breached the CBA by including provisions in the Wellpath Contract that allow Wellpath to hire individuals listed on the "Staffing Plan" that These new employees are filling bargaining include Union jobs. unit positions that should otherwise be filled by Union members. The State of Alaska also breached the CBA by and through its FY 2020 budget, released on February 13, 2019, which deletes every single position at API, including at least 218 positions occupied by Union members.

26

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-

Page 18 of 26

COMPLAINT

LAW OFFICES

DILLON & FINDLEY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1049 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 100
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

EL. (907) 277-5400 · FAX (907) 277-9896

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The State of Alaska has also anticipatorily breached 39. the Ratified CBA, which contains a promise that the State of legislation Union will submit and support the The Ratified CBA associated with funding the Ratified CBA. contains the same feasibility requirement in the event the State of Alaska endeavors to contract out positions available to the Further, even if the Alaska Legislature fails to fully Union. fund the Ratified CBA, the terms of the Ratified CBA, with the exception of the funding associated with the legislative action, remain binding.

- 40. Additionally, the poor service delivery that forms, in part, the basis of the State of Alaska's and regulators' concerns regarding staff and patient safety at API is directly the result of the State of Alaska's systemic failure to manage API. This chronic mismanagement stems from years of underfunding, understaffing, and lack of consistency and directions at the highest levels of government.
- 41. Governor Michael Dunleavy's decision to terminate the API Chief Executive Officer, and two staff Psychiatrists (including the Chief Psychiatrist) weeks into his administration crippled API's ability to make changes necessary to ensure patient and staff safety at the same time the State of Alaska

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_\_ C

Page 19 of 26

LAW OFFICES

DILLON & FINDLEY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1049 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 100

Anchorage, Alaska 99501

EL. (907) 277-5400 · FAX (907) 277-9896

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

worked with and negotiated the single source contract with The unstable work environment has caused several Wellpath. leave API, leaving staff, and vital employees to including Union members, in a worsening and unsafe environment, and jeopardizing the operation of API and the safety of patients. These actions constitute an unfair labor practice under Alaska law.

### COUNT I: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

The Union incorporates paragraphs 1-41 as if fully set forth herein, and alleges as follows:

- An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between 42. Alaska judicial Union and the State of such that а determination is necessary and appropriate so that the parties may ascertain their rights and obligations regarding operation of API.
- 43. The Union contends that the State of Alaska violated AS 36.30.300, describing single source procurements, by wrongly determining that it was not practicable to award a contract by competitive sealed bidding under AS 36.30.100, by competitive sealed proposal under AS 36.30.200, or by limited competition under AS 26.30.305, and by failing to provide the evidence

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_ C

Page 20 of 26

necessary to justify a single-source procurement, including the absence of any other vendors.

- 44. The Union contends that by failing to satisfy AS 36.30.300, the State of Alaska failed to establish that the Wellpath Contract is in the State of Alaska's best interest, and is not supported by appropriate written evidence or factual or expert support.
- 45. The Union contends that the single source contract violates AS 36.30.300 by providing terms that are not advantageous to the State of Alaska, and because the terms circumvent the procurement source selection procedures required by Alaska law.

### COUNT II - BREACH OF CONTRACT

The Union incorporates paragraphs 1-45 as set forth herein, and further alleges as follows:

- 46. The Union and the State of Alaska entered into the CBA as described above.
- 47. The State of Alaska breached the terms of the CBA by failing to follow the promises made to the State of Alaska in Article 13 of the CBA, and by failing to perform as agreed.

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-\_\_\_\_ C
Page 21 of 26

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

48. By virtue of the State of Alaska's breach of the CBA, the Union has suffered damages in excess of \$100,000, the precise amount to be proven at trial.

### COUNT III - ANTICIPATORY BREACH OF CONTRACT

The Union incorporates paragraphs 1-48 as set forth herein, and further alleges as follows:

- The Union and the State of Alaska entered into Ratified CBA as described above.
- The State of Alaska anticipatorily breached the terms of the Ratified CBA by failing to follow the promises made to the State of Alaska in Article 13 of the CBA, and by failing to perform as agreed.
- The State of Alaska's anticipatory breach includes its intent to award the single source contract to Wellpath described above, in violation of the CBA and Alaska law, while knowing that it is obligated to perform under the terms of the Ratified CBA starting July 1, 2019.
- By virtue of the State of Alaska's anticipatory breach 52. of the Ratified CBA, the Union has suffered damages in excess of \$100,000, the precise amount to be proven at trial.

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-

Page 22 of 26

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## COUNT IV - BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

The Union incorporates paragraphs 1-52 as set forth herein, and further alleges as follows:

- 53. Under Alaska law, every contract, including the CBA and the Ratified CBA, includes an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- The State of Alaska, by awarding a contract to Wellpath as described above, has breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing in various ways, including, but not limited to, failing to satisfy certain terms of the CBA and by anticipatorily failing to satisfy terms of the Ratified CBA. This includes the requirements described in Article 13 of the CBA and provisions in the Ratified CBA. The State of Alaska has also breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing to treat the Union fairly, including by failing to properly staff and fund API, leaving Union members working at API without the necessary perform by to their jobs, safety, and resources ensure essentially setting API up to fail.
- 55. As a result of the State of Alaska's breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, the Union suffered

26 COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al. Case No. 3AN-19- CI

Page 23 of 26

damages in excess of \$100,000, the precise amount to be determined at trial.

### COUNT V - PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

The Union incorporates paragraphs 1-55 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges, as follows:

- 56. The State of Alaska and the Union agreed to the terms of the CBA and the Ratified CBA, in which the parties made promises to the other regarding the terms and conditions of the Union members' employment.
- 57. As a result of the State of Alaska's promises, the Union approved the CBA and the Ratified CBA in reasonable and justified reliance on the State of Alaska's promises, including the promises in Article 13 of the CBA and provisions of the Ratified CBA.
- 58. The State of Alaska subsequently breached the CBA and anticipatorily breached the Ratified CBA, and has benefited financially by failing to provide the Union the rights agreed to in the CBA and the Ratified CBA, entitling the Union to the legal enforcement of the promises in the CBA and the Ratified CBA.

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19- CI

Page 24 of 26

### COUNT VI - VIOLATION OF CONTRACT CLAUSE OF THE ALASKA CONSTITUTION

The Union incorporates paragraphs 1-58 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges, as follows:

- 59. The Alaska Constitution, at Article 1, Section 15, describes "prohibited state action" to include a law that impairs the obligation of contracts.
- 60. The State of Alaska substantially impaired the Union's rights in the CBA and the Ratified CBA by acting unreasonably and unfairly without justifiable public purpose, and without reasonable alternatives, including by failing to fund and by deleting funding for the operations of API, and Union employee positions at API, including at least 211 positions occupied by Union members.
- 61. As a result of the State of Alaska's conduct, the Union suffered damages in excess of \$100,000, the precise amount to be determined at trial.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Union prays for judgment as follows:

1. For a declaration that the contract between the State of Alaska violated AS 36.30.300 by awarding a single source contract to Wellpath;

26 COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al. Case No. 3AN-19- CI

Page 25 of 26

- For an award of all available damages; 3.
- That pre- and post-judgment be awarded, along with 4. attorney's fees, costs and expenses incurred by the Union in bringing this lawsuit; and
  - For such other relief as the Court deems just. 5. DATED this 15th day of April 2019, at Anchorage, Alaska.

DILLON & FINDLEY, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

ABA No. imonian,

COMPLAINT

Alaska State Employees Assoc. v. State of Alaska, et al.

Case No. 3AN-19-Page 26 of 26

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25